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Abstract

Face recognition is a biometric tool for authentication and verification. In this paper we investigate the performances of some face recognition systems. We use DT-CWT for feature extraction and PNN and SVM for classification. The DT-CWT has approximate shift invariance, good directional selectivity and can provide effective feature representation for face images. ORL face database is used for experiment. We compare the performance results of PNN and SVM methods. We also show that the system developed with DT-CWT has better results than the system developed with DWT.
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1. Introduction

Face recognition system is a computer application for automatically identifying or verifying a person from a digital image or a video frame [1]. Biometric identification is becoming more popular now a day’s, due to the existing security requirements of society in the field of information, business, military, e-commerce and etc. [2].

Many techniques have been proposed in the literature for feature extraction part of face recognition. Some of these include principal components analysis [3], discrete wavelet transform [4, 5], and discrete cosine transform [6]. Gabor wavelets have been extensively implemented in many face recognition approaches [7, 8].

Even though Gabor wavelet-based face image representation is optimal in many respects, it has got two important drawbacks that shadow its success. First, it is computationally very complex. A full representation encompassing many directions (e.g., 8 directions), and many scales (e.g., 5 scales) requires the convolution of the face image with 40 Gabor wavelet kernels. Second, memory requirements for storing Gabor features are very high. The size of the Gabor feature vector for an input image of size 128x128 pixels is 128x128x40 = 655360 pixels when the representation uses 8 directions and 5 scales [9].

There are lots of studies about face recognition in the literature. Karmakar and Murthy are proposed a facial feature extraction method where color face images are autocropped and control points are extracted, both using the same segmentation mechanism [10]. Mohamed et al. present a new approach to face recognition based on the combination of feature extraction methods, such as two-dimensional DWT-2DPCA and DWT-2DLDA, with probabilistic neural networks [11]. Sastry and Yi Ma provide a comprehensive review of five
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representative $l_1$ minimization methods, i.e., gradient projection, homotopy, iterative shrinkage-thresholding, proximal gradient, and augmented Lagrange multiplier [12]. Huang et al. propose a method for face recognition by using the two dimensional discrete wavelet transform (2D-DWT) and a new patch strategy [13]. Wagner et al. propose a conceptually simple face recognition system that achieves a high degree of robustness and stability to illumination variation, image misalignment, and partial occlusion [14]. Patil et al. propose A methodology for automatic facial expression recognition in image sequences is proposed, which makes use of the Candide wire frame model and an active appearance algorithm for tracking, and support vector machine (SVM) for classification [15].

In this paper, a face recognition algorithm based on DT-CWT using PNN and SVM classifiers is presented. The success performance of the methods used are evaluated and compared. The rest of the article is organized as follows: In the second section details of the proposed methods have been described. Face recognition process and its evaluation will be described in the third section. Finally, in the fourth section the results obtained from the study will be described.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. 2D DT-CWT

For one dimensional (1-D) signals, a naive implementation of the CWT is to use a single analysis filter bank with complex coefficients satisfying perfect reconstruction (PR) properties. However designing such complex filters is a difficult task. Furthermore, complex filters that have PR properties amplify noise during the reconstruction stage. For 1-D, signals dual tree implementation of the CWT uses two analysis filter banks each with real coefficients. The two filter banks are designed such that the wavelet associated with one filter bank is an approximate Hilbert transform of the wavelet associated with the other filter bank. For 2-D signals, the two filter banks are applied to the rows followed by the columns of the data [16]. Hence, as shown in Figure 1, at each resolution there are six subbands as opposed to 2D DWT case, which has three subbands.

![Figure 1. Dual tree complex wavelet coefficients images](image-url)
DT-CWT has the following properties to overcome the drawbacks of DWT: 1. Approximate shift invariance; 2. Good directional selectivity in 2-dimensions (2-D) with Gabor like filters also true for higher dimensionality: m-D; 3. Perfect reconstruction; 4. Limited redundancy: 2× redundancy in 1-D (2d for d-dimensional signals), this is less than the log2N× redundancy of a perfectly shift-invariant DWT; 5. Efficient order N computation [2].

### 2.2. Probabilistic Neural Network

Probabilistic Neural Network (PNN) is well-known as the Bayesian-Parzen classifier technique. Consider a pattern vector $x$ with $m$ dimensions that belongs to one of two categories $K_1$ and $K_2$. Let $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$ be the probability density functions (pdf) for the classification categories $K_1$ and $K_2$, respectively. From Bayes’ decision rule, $x$ belongs to $K_1$ if

$$F_1(x)/F_2(x) > L_1P_2/L_2P_1$$

conversely, $x$ belongs to $K_2$, where $L_1$ is the loss or cost function associated with misclassifying the vector as belonging to category $K_1$ while it belongs to category $K_2$, $L_2$ is the loss function associated with misclassifying the vector as belonging to category $K_2$ while it belongs to category $K_1$, $P_1$ is the prior probability of occurrence of category $K_1$, and $P_2$ is the prior probability of occurrence of category $K_2$. If $F_1(x)$, $F_2(x)$, $L_1$ and $L_2$ are known, then the vector $x$ can be identified with maximum probability to which class it belongs [17]. In many situations, the loss functions and the prior probabilities are equal. Hence using the Parzen the estimation of the probability density functions for the classification categories is [18]:

$$F(x) = 1/(2\pi)^{m/2}\sigma^m n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp[-(x - x_i)^T(x - x_i)/2\sigma^2]$$

where $n$ is the number of training patterns, $m$ is the input space dimension, $i$ is the pattern number, and $\sigma$ is an adjustable smoothing parameter.

### 2.3. Support Vector Machines

We first explain the basics of Support Vector Machines (SVMs) for binary classification. Then we discuss how this technique can be extended to deal with general multi-class classification problems [19].

#### 2.3.1. Binary classification

SVMs belong to the class of maximum margin classifiers. They perform pattern recognition between two classes by finding a decision surface that has maximum distance to the closest points in the training set which are termed support vectors. We start with a training set of points $x_i \in n$, $i=1,2,...,N$ where each point $x_i$ belongs to one of two classes identified by the label $y_i=\{-1, 1\}$. Assuming linearly separable data, the goal of maximum margin classification is to separate the two classes by a hyperplane such that the distance to the support vectors is maximized. This hyperplane is called the optimal separating hyperplane (OSH) (Figure 2). The OSH has the form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i y_i x_i \cdot x + b$$


The coefficients $\alpha_i$ and the $b$ in Eq. (3) are the solutions of a quadratic programming problem. Classification of a new data point $x$ is performed by computing the sign of the right-hand side of Eq. (3). In the following the equation given below:

$$d(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i y_i x_i \cdot x + b / \| \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i y_i x_i \|$$

will be used to perform multi-class classification. The sign of $d$ is the classification result for $x$, and $|d|$ is the distance from $x$ to the hyperplane. Intuitively, the farther away a point is from the decision surface, i.e., the larger $|d|$, the more reliable the classification result.

### 2.3.2. Multi-class classification

There are a number of strategies for solving $q$-class problems with binary SVM classifiers. Popular are the one-vs-all and the pairwise approach: (i) In the one-vs-all approach $q$ SVMs are trained. Each of the SVMs separates a single class from all remaining classes; (ii) In the pairwise approach $q(q-1)/2$ machines are trained. Each SVM separates a pair of classes. We opted for one-vs-all.

### 3. Proposed System

For our application ORL face database is used. We use 200 face images acquired of 40 individual (5 images per individual). 140 images are used for training and 60 images are used for testing. Flowchart of the face recognition system is shown in Figure 3.

DWT, 1 level and 2 levels DT-CWT are used for feature extraction. Low frequency regions of DWT and DT-CWT results are used. The resizing operations are implemented to low frequency regions. The sizes of result images are 11x9 after resizing.

Face image matrices obtained from resized image are converted to vectors (length of vector: 11x9=99). Then, the feature vectors are merged as a matrix. The dimension of matrix is 140x99 for training and 60x99 for testing. PNN and SVM classifiers are used for classification. The matrix is used as input of PNN and SVM. After some experiments it is acquired that PNN gives better performance than SVM. We acquire better performance when we use DT-CWT for feature extraction. The process times and recognition rates for two
feature extraction and classification methods are shown in Table 1. Process times consist of training and testing times.

![Flowchart of the face recognition system](image)

Figure 3. Flowchart of the face recognition system

Table 1. PNN and SVM performances after DWT and DT-CWT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Time for feat. ext. (sec.)</th>
<th>PNN (%)</th>
<th>Time for PNN (sec.)</th>
<th>SVM (%)</th>
<th>Time for SVM (sec.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>93.3333</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>88.3333</td>
<td>1.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT-CWT</td>
<td>1.531</td>
<td>96.6668</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>88.3333</td>
<td>1.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT-CWT</td>
<td>2.082</td>
<td>96.6668</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>91.6667</td>
<td>1.086</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

In this paper, DT-CWT based face recognition system has been developed using PNN and SVM classifiers. Performances of both classifiers are compared and results are shown in table 1. Different tests are implemented using 1 level DT-CWT and 2 levels DT-CWT. In summary some conclusions are obtained. While the recognition rates after 2 levels DT-CWT are the same as the recognition rates after 1 level DT-CWT, more processing time is required for 2
levels DT-CWT for feature extraction. So it is determined that implementing 1 level DT-CWT is more advantageous according to implementing 2 levels DT-CWT. It is shown that the system developed with DT-CWT has better results than the system developed with DWT. SVM classifier performs better result when used 2 levels DT-CWT according to 1 level DT-CWT. In addition, PNN classifier is performed higher success according to SVM.

References